Helium single ionization induced by 0.5 - 2 MeV proton impact: On the quest for projectile coherence effects

J. Gatzke[†], F. Navarrete[¤], M. Ciappina[‡,§], H. Gatzke[†], O. Chuluunbaatar[ϒ,¶], S. A. Zaytsev[♣], A. A. Bulychev, K. A. Kouzakov[♦], A. Galstyan, M. Waitz[†], H.-K. Kim[†], T. Bauer[†], A. Laucke[†], S. Eckart[†], G. Kastirke[†], J. Müller[†], M. Ritzer[†,■], E. Bloch[†*], M. Richter, K. Fehre[†], M. Kunitski[†], Ch. Müller[†], J. Voigtsberger[†], J. Rist[†], K. Pahl[†], M. Honig[†], M. Pitzer[†], M. Weller[†], I. Vela Pérez[†], J. Hoehl[†], G. Nalin[†], S. Grundmann[†], H. Maschkiwitz[†], C. Janke[†], S. Zeller[†], C. Goihl[†], Y. Herrman[†], D. Trabert[†], T. Jahnke[†], L. Ph. H. Schmidt[†], Yu. V. Popov[¶,♦], R. Dörner[†] R. O. Barrachina[¤], and M. S. Schöffler[† 1]

[†] Institut für Kernphysik, Goethe University, Max-von-Laue-Straße1, 60438 Frankfurt, Germany
[*] Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS CEA, CELIA, UMR5107, F-F33405 Talence, France
[■] Experimental Physics IV, University of Kassel, Heinrich-Plett-Straße 40, D-34132 Kassel, Germany
[¶] Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
[ϒ] National University of Mongolia, UlaanBaatar, Mongolia
[♣] Pacific State University, Khabarovsk, Russia
[♦] Lomonosov Moscow State University, Russia
[¤] Centro Atómico Bariloche e Instituto Balseiro (Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica and Univ. Nac. de Cuyo), Bariloche, Río Negro, Argentina
[‡] Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, Hans-Kopfermann-Straße 1, D85748 Garching, Germany
[§] Institute of Physics of the ASCR, ELI-Beamlines project, Na Slovance 2, 182 21 Prague, Czech Republic

Synopsis: We investigated single ionization in proton-helium-collisions at 0.5, 1 and 2 MeV for various beam properties (divergence/coherence length).

Single ionization, induced by a fast charged particle has been investigated since a long time. While electron impact experiments – at least on a helium target – agreed well with theory in lowest order perturbation theory, impacting heavy particles, such as protons or highly charged ions never agreed well with theory. The typical electron angular distribution shows two peaks, the pronounced binary and the recoil peak, and a nodal structure in-between them. In a collision experiment with C^{6+} projectiles at 100 MeV/u [1], the node was mostly filled, and the disagreement with state-of-the-art theories, started an avalanche of discussions and further experiments. 15 years later, the issue is still not solved and two explanations are heavily debated: insufficient momentum resolution and the influence of transversal beam coherence. According to [2] the macroscopic geometrical preparation of the beam, e. g. its divergence, should influence the microscopic scattering behavior and therefore for example the electron's angular distribution.

In a series of single ionization experiments, we investigated p+He collisions at impact energies from 0.5 to 2 MeV, produced by a Van-de-Graaff accelerator. We applied the COLTRIMS reaction microscope technique [3], optimized for highest momentum resolution [4], to determine the momentum vectors of all emitted particles in coincidence. For the highest projectile energy (2 MeV), we manipulated the beam divergence by utilizing an electro static quadrupole lense. The electron angular distribution in the scattering plane is shown in Figure 1, both with lense being turned on and off. Within the experimental error bars we don't observe any difference as well as a pronounced node in-between the binary and recoil peak [5].



Figure 1. Electron emission angle in the scattering plane for 2 MeV p+He, E_e=6.5±3.5 eV, q=0.75±0.25 au, with projectile lense turned on (red circles) or turned off (black squares).

References

[1] Schulz et al., *Nature*, **422**, 48, (2003)
[2] Schulz et al., *Phys. Rev. A*, **76**, 032712, (2007)
[3] Dörner et al., *Phys. Rep.*, **330**, 95 (2000)
[4] Schöffler et al., *Phys. Rev. A*, **79**, 064701, (2009)
[5] Gassert et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **116**, 073201, (2016)

[†] E-mail: schoeffler@atom.uni-frankfurt.de